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Environmental Product Declarations (EPDs)

- Are ecolabels that disclose the environmental performance of products based on an environmental life cycle assessment (LCA).
  - Similar to nutrition labels disclose nutritional performance.
  - Provide the consumer with a way to evaluate their choices.

ENVIRONMENTAL PRODUCT DECLARATION (EPD)

http://www.pe-international.com/topics/what-are-environmental-product-declarations/
**IMPORTANCE OF EPDs**

Today, every food item in your store has a food label. Soon, every product will have an EPD attached!

5 million jobs are endangered by the new EU requirements in U.S.

Potentially 67,000 workplaces and better environment available with the development of EPD systems.
Product Category Rules (PCRs)

- Are detailed instructions on how to perform the LCA for EPDs
- Assure that LCAs performed are done in the same way, so that an analysis of the same product will yield the same results no matter who does the analysis.
- Includes how to present the data
- Defines the required data quality

Product Category Rules (PCRs)

- **Program Operator** is the organization that coordinates stakeholders and ensures adherence to ISO standards.
- Program Operator oversees creation of PCR.
- Third party review of the final rules is also required, as well as periodic review.
EPD Creation

Steps for EPD Creation:

1. **Program Operator** established (can be self-appointed)

2. Program operator defines **Product Category Rules**

3. Review and Comment of PCR

4. LCA performed and data used to create EPD (according to PCR and ISO methods).

Three Types of Environmental labels and declarations per ISO 14020

- All based on ISO standards:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Unverified</th>
<th>Verified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Labels</td>
<td>14021 (Type II)</td>
<td>14024 (Type I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-declared Environmental Claims</td>
<td>14021 (Type II)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Declarations Product</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14025 (Type III)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Type I: **Environmental Labels** (ISO 14024)

– Multiple-criteria-based 3rd party program which awards a license for environmentally preferable products meeting criteria.
Type I: **Environmental Labels** (ISO 14024)

- Environmental labelling programmes which award their environmental label to products which meet a set of predetermined requirements.

- The label thus identifies products which are determined to be environmentally preferable within a particular product category.
Type I: **Environmental Labels** (ISO 14024)

- Iterative procedure
  - Consultation with interested parties
  - Selection of product categories
  - Development review and modification of the environmental criteria
  - Identification of the product function characteristics
  - Establishment of the certification procedures and administration
Type 1 Example: Energy Star

- ENERGY STAR is a U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) voluntary program that helps businesses and individuals save money and protect climate through superior energy efficiency.

- Objective: to identify and promote energy–efficient products and buildings

- Desired outcomes: to reduce energy consumption, improve energy security, and reduce pollution through voluntary labeling of products and buildings that meet the highest energy efficiency standards

- [Link to Energy Star website](http://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=about.ab_index)

---

**ENERGY STAR GHG Reductions Since 2000**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GHG REDUCTIONS (MMTCO₂e)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GHGS ADDRESSED: CO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEY SECTORS: Residential, Commercial, Industrial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Type 1 Example: Energy Star

TABLE 9. EPA Maintains Efficiency Standards With 176 Product Specifications and Revisions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRODUCT TYPE</th>
<th>NUMBER OF PRODUCT CATEGORIES</th>
<th>TOTAL NUMBER OF SPECIFICATIONS (NEW AND REVISED)</th>
<th>SPECIFICATION UPDATES IN THE LAST 3 YEARS*</th>
<th>SPECIFICATIONS THAT WENT INTO EFFECT IN 2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Electronics</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Equipment</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HVAC</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Food Service Equipment</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lighting</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Envelope</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appliances</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Type 1 Example: Energy Star

- 18,000 partners across every sector of the economy
- manufacturers and trade associations, to retailers and efficiency program providers, to home builders and small businesses.
- represent products in more than 65 different categories,
- carry EPA’s ENERGY STAR certification:
  - 4.5 billion products sold over the past 20 years.
  - More than 1.4 million new homes
  - more than 20,000 facilities
- 84% of households recognized the Energy Star Label
Type I Example: Forestry Stewardship Council

Mission and Vision:

1. The Forest Stewardship Council mission is to promote environmentally sound, socially beneficial and economically prosperous management of the world's forests.

2. Our vision is that we can meet our current needs for forest products without compromising the health of the world’s forests for future generations.
Type I Example: Forestry Stewardship Council

Certification types:

1. Forest Management certification is awarded to forest managers or owners whose management practices meet the requirements of the FSC US Forest Management Standard.

2. Chain-of-Custody certification applies to manufacturers, processors and traders of FSC-certified forest products. It ensures the validity of claims associated with all FSC-certified material and products throughout the production chain.
Type I Example: Forestry Stewardship Council

FSC: 10 Principles Audited:

Principle 1: Compliance with laws and FSC Principles
Principle 2: Tenure and use rights and responsibilities
Principle 3: Indigenous peoples’ rights
Principle 4: Community relations and worker's rights
Principle 5: Benefits from the forest
Principle 6: Environmental impact
Principle 7: Management plan
Principle 8: Monitoring and assessment
Principle 9: Maintenance of high conservation value forests
Principle 10: Plantations

Type I Example: Forestry Stewardship Council

- Forests are important
  - Forests cover 30% of global land area
  - 70% of terrestrial animals and plants live in forests
  - Currently the world’s forests store 283 billion tons of carbon in their biomass

- FSC Statistics, May 2013
  - 35.3 million acres certified in the US
  - 174.7 million acres certified in the US and Canada
  - 3,417 companies Chain-of-Custody certified in the US
  - 4,401 companies Chain-of-Custody certified in the US and Canada
Type I Example: Forestry Stewardship Council

Steps to Certification:

1. Choose from one of several FSC-accredited certification bodies.
2. Certification Audit
3. Audit Report
4. Certification awarded, or suggested changes issued
5. Valid for (5) years with annual surveillance audits.
Type I: **Environmental Labels** (ISO 14024)

- **Strengths:**
  - Simple, easy for consumers with no technical background to understand.
  - Can be applied across several countries e.g. Ecolabel
  - Selective – criteria is specific to a category and constantly reviewed
  - Can also extend to services

- **Weaknesses**
  - Complex
  - Costly to obtain
  - Limited to products specifically included (20-30% of products)
  - Does not differentiate between multiple products achieving label
  - Very rigid structure
Type II: **Self-Declared Environmental Claims** (ISO 14021)

- Self-certification and publication by a firm’s own products.
- Statement, symbol or graphics on products, labels, literature, advertising......
- Not independently verified, so there is a risk of “**greenwashing**”, i.e. using claims to imply a product is more environmentally friendly than it is
- Per ISO, claim must be:
  - Clear and specific
  - Relevant to the product and its use
  - Careful and not misleading
- Not necessarily based on an LCA

---

**Environmental labels and declarations — Self-declared environmental claims (Type II environmental labelling)**
Type II: **Self-Declared Environmental Claims**

(ISO 14021)

- Examples:

Do you believe these claims? Why or Why Not?
Type II: **Self-Declared Environmental Claims**  
(ISO 14021)

- Commonly used terms in self declared environmental claims

---

**7.3 Degradable**

**7.3.1 Usage of term**

A characteristic of a product or packaging that, with respect to specific conditions, allows it to break down to a specific extent within a given time.

**NOTE** Degradability is a function of susceptibility to changes in chemical structure. Consequent changes in physical and mechanical properties lead to the disintegration of the product or material.

**7.3.2 Qualifications**

7.3.2.1 The following qualifications refer to all types of degradation, including for instance biodegradation and photodegradation.

a) Claims of degradability shall only be made in relation to a specific test method that includes maximum level of degradation and test duration, and shall be relevant to the circumstances in which the product or packaging is likely to be disposed.

b) A degradable claim shall not be made for a product or packaging, or component of a product or packaging, that releases substances in concentrations harmful to the environment.

**7.3.3 Evaluation methodology**

Evaluation shall be undertaken in accordance with clause 6.
Type II: **Self-Declared Environmental Claims**
(ISO 14021)

- **Requirements**
  - 6.1 Claimant is responsible for evaluation and provision of data for verification of the claim
  - 6.2 Ensure reliability of claim and provide documentation

- For comparative claims: Use appropriate comparison example and use same evaluation method for subject and baseline

- Verifiable: only if all information is provided or available upon request

- Documentation: test method, test results, if testing done by 3rd party, assurance that the claim is being updated
Type III: Environmental Product Declarations (ISO 14025)

Type III environmental declarations present quantified environmental information on the life cycle of a product to enable comparisons between products fulfilling the same function.
Type III: **Environmental Product Declarations (ISO 14025)**

- are provided by one or more organizations,
- are based on independently verified life cycle assessment (LCA) data, life cycle inventory analysis (LCI) data or information modules in accordance with the ISO 14040 series of standards and, where relevant, additional environmental information,
- are developed using predetermined parameters, and
- are subject to the administration of a programme operator, such as a company or a group of companies, industrial sector or trade association, public authorities or agencies, or an independent scientific body or other organization.
Type III: **Environmental Product Declarations**  
(ISO 14025)

- primarily intended for use in business-to-business communication
- but their use in business-to-consumer communication is not precluded.
- data are independently verified either internally or externally
- 3rd party required by ISO if business to consumer
- Product category rules (PCR) are encouraged between programmes to meet the principle of comparability
Type III: **Environmental Product Declarations**  
*(ISO 14025)*

- Overall goal is to communicate verifiable and accurate information about environmental aspects of products.
- Intent is to provide a basis of fair comparison of any product in a given category.
- Objective, comparable, and credible.
If these countries impose EPD requirements on all products sold, US companies may be forced to create and issue EPDs to continue trade. French government has already passed legislation to require EPDs for all consumer goods.
EPDs in the US

• Several individual, but no national EPD system in the US.
• Type I and Type II eco-labeling much more common.
• First EPD program in the US was Earthsure, part of the Institute for Environmental Research and Education.
History of EPDs

• First label program was Germany’s “Blauer Engel” in 1978
• Environmental labeling has been under development in other countries since the 1980s
• ISO 14000 series published in 1996.
• Discussion of standardization/harmonization of EPDs began in 2002.
• First EPD stakeholder conference in May 2012.
• Future – global standard instead of various local EPD schemes? More worldwide (US) adoption?
EPD Requirements

3 Minimum Parts of an EPD:

1. Description of the product and manufacturer.
2. Description of the environmental performance of the product. This is the core of EPD, and is based on the product LCA.
3. Information from the company and accredited certification body.
What should be in a Type III EPD

The following information shall be included in any Type III environmental declaration according to the PCR:

a) identification and description of the organization making the declaration;
b) description of product;
c) product identification (e.g. model number);
d) name of the programme and the programme operator's address and, if relevant, logo and website;
e) PCR identification;
f) date of publication and period of validity;
g) data from LCA, LCI or information modules (see 7.2.2);
h) additional environmental information (see 7.2.3);
i) content declaration covering materials and substances to be declared (e.g. information about product content, including specification of materials and substances that can adversely affect human health and the environment, in all stages of the life cycle);
j) information on which stages are not considered, if the declaration is not based on an LCA covering all life cycle stages;
k) statement that environmental declarations from different programmes may not be comparable;
l) information on where explanatory material may be obtained.
m) Information about the review process
What should be in a Type III EPD

7.2.2 Data from LCA, LCI or information modules

a) data from life cycle inventory analysis (LCI), according to the PCR, including
   — consumption of resources, including energy, water and renewable resources, and
   — emissions to air, water and soil;

b) indicator results of life cycle impact assessment (LCIA), if applied, including
   — climate change,
   — depletion of the stratospheric ozone layer,
   — acidification of land and water sources,
   — eutrophication,
   — formation of photochemical oxidants,
   — depletion of fossil energy resources, and
   — depletion of mineral resources;

c) other data such as quantities and types of waste produced (hazardous and non-hazardous waste).
What should be in a Type III EPD

7.2.3 Additional environmental information

a) information on environmental issues, such as
   1) impact(s) and potential impact(s) on biodiversity,
   2) toxicity related to human health and/or the environment, and
   3) geographical aspects relating to any stages of the life cycle (e.g. a discussion on the relation between the potential environmental impact(s) and the location of the product system);

b) data on product performance, if environmentally significant;

c) the organization's adherence to any environmental management system, with a statement on where an interested party may find details of the system;

d) any other environmental certification programme applied to the product and a statement on where an interested party may find details of the certification programme;

e) other environmental activities of the organization, such as participation in recycling or recovery programmes, provided details of these programmes are readily available to the purchaser or user and contact information is provided;

f) information that is derived from LCA but not communicated in the typical LCI or LCIA based formats;

g) instructions and limits for efficient use;

h) hazard and risk assessment on human health and the environment;

i) information on absence or level of presence of a material in the product that is considered of environmental significance in certain areas [see ISO 14021:1999, 5.4 and 5.7 r];

j) preferred waste management option for used products;

k) potential for incidents that can have impact(s) on the environment.
Excerpts from Finsa (Spain) – EPD for plain MDF and Melamine-coated MDF. Issued 2010.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summary</th>
<th>EPD® International System</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Verified by</td>
<td>Anxo Mourelle Alvarez. EPD Verifier</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Owner’s declaration by</th>
<th>FINANCIERA MADERERA S.A.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carretera (National Road) N-550 km 15590</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santiago de Compostela – A Coruña</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construction product declaration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The product is Medium density fibreboard (MDF), both plain as well as melamine-coated, commercially designated, in the case of plain boards, as: Fibranor, Fibran or Iberpan depending on their thickness; and Fibraplast, in the case of coated boards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The present environmental product declaration complies with standards ISO 14025, ISO 14040, ISO 14044 and describes the environmental features and behaviour of the construction product described herein.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Its purpose is to promote compatible and sustainable environmental development of related construction methods.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All relevant environmental data are disseminated in the present declaration, which has been submitted to independent validation by a third party.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presently there is no specific PCR for MDF boards.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Validity</th>
<th>December 2013(1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) Note: unless there is a variation greater than 5% on the environmental effects in any of the categories of impact.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contents of the declaration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This declaration is complete in itself and contains:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- The product definition and physical data related to the preparation for being used in construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Details of the base materials and on the origins thereof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Descriptions of how the product is manufactured and the intervening processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Instructions on how to process the product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Data on the conditions of use, unusual effects, and on the end of the product’s life cycle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- The results from the total life cycle analysis (the model from cradle to gate B2B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Evidence, verifications and tests supporting the stated features.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issuing date</th>
<th>15 December 2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturer</td>
<td>Sergio Blanco. FINSA Business Unit Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verified by</td>
<td>Anxo Mourelle. EPD Verifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signatures</td>
<td>Sergio Blanco. FINSA Business Unit Director</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**EPD Example (excerpts)**

Plain medium density fibreboards (MDF) or melamine-coated fibreboards are panel-like products that comply with standards EN 622-1, EN 622-5 and EN 14333. They are considered reliable products used as raw material for the construction and furniture industry. MDF boards can easily be coated with decorative paper, by resorting to simple technologies.

**Applications**

MDF boards are homogeneous and provide good results in the most demanding marine work. They are stable, as they keep their form and dimensions despite the changes in environment humidity and temperature.

The multiple possibilities they offer in terms of finishing, coating and finishing imply a greater quality of the end product and provide greater rationalization in terms of work.

With the appropriate coating, they are the ideal support for manufacturing doors, frames, home and office furniture, sockets, wall coverings, false ceilings and so on.

In smaller thicknesses, it is a high-density board, with good wrap behaviour, and which is very easy to cut and curved. They have great homogeneity and dimensional stability.

These boards have become the strongest allies of different sectors: industrial electronics, blocking of items of furniture, coated structures for furniture and for covering walls, complementary automotive industry, machine packaging, fruit boxes...

In greater thicknesses, for architectural applications such as columns, pillars, vaulted passageways, etc. Other possibilities include: shelves, bed heads, steps, benches, interior doors with moulded faces, table legs, etc. They are also used as basic material for wood veneering and PVC coverings.

The Life Cycle Analysis (LCA) was carried out according to standards ISO 14025, ISO 14040, ISO 14044. Both specific data from the production of the product under analysis as well as the following data bases were used: Ecovvent 2.1 and the U.S. Life Cycle Inventory (USLCI). The methods used for calculating the categories of impact were as follows: the EPD Method (2008); the Environmental Design of Industrial Products Method (EDIP) 2003; and the Method of Cumulative Energy Demand (CED) v.1.67.

The life cycle analysis covers the production of raw materials and energy; the transportation of raw materials, and the actual manufacturing stage, all the way to the shipping stage. The functional unit under consideration is 1 m² of plain MDF and 1 m² of melamine-coated MDF.

In addition, the environmental product declaration also considers:

- That formatenyle complies with standard EN 120/EN 717-1 (Altin Certification)
- CARPS P2 Certification
- NAF Certification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable under assessment</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Coated MDF boards (per m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emission of Greenhouse gases</td>
<td>kg CO₂/ m³</td>
<td>-818(1)</td>
<td>kg CO₂/ m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential depletion of the ozone layer (POD)</td>
<td>kg R11 eq/ m³</td>
<td>4,3E-5</td>
<td>kg R11 eq/ m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential acidification (PA)</td>
<td>kg H₂SO₄ eq/ m³</td>
<td>4,68</td>
<td>kg H₂SO₄ eq/ m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential etroperoxidation (PE)</td>
<td>kg H₂O₂ eq/ m³</td>
<td>2,07E-2</td>
<td>kg H₂O₂ eq/ m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential formation of photochemical oxidants (FFP)</td>
<td>kg ethylene eq/ m²</td>
<td>2,7E-3</td>
<td>kg ethylene eq/ m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary energy, non renewable</td>
<td>MJ/ m²</td>
<td>51,37</td>
<td>MJ/ m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary energy, renewable</td>
<td>MJ/ m²</td>
<td>22,63</td>
<td>MJ/ m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity consumption</td>
<td>kWh/ m²</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>kWh/ m³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Excerpts from Finsa (Spain) – EPD for plain MDF and Melamine-coated MDF. Issued 2010.
EPD Example (excerpts)

Table 2: Consumption of primary energy for manufacturing 1 m² of MDF and 1 m³ of melamine-coated MDF.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable under assessment</th>
<th>Plain MDF board (per m²)</th>
<th>Coated MDF board (per m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-renewable primary energy with energy contents</td>
<td>9.327 MJ/m²</td>
<td>42.07 MJ/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable primary energy with energy contents</td>
<td>4.566 MJ/m³</td>
<td>21.03 MJ/m³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Categories of impact for manufacturing 1 m³ of plain MDF board and 1 m³ of melamine-coated MDF board.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable under assessment</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emission of greenhouse gases</td>
<td>kg CO2/m³</td>
<td>-818(1)</td>
<td>kg CO2/m³</td>
<td>-3.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential depletion of the ozone layer (POD)</td>
<td>kg R11 eq/m³</td>
<td>4.3E-5</td>
<td>kg R11 eq/m³</td>
<td>1.9E-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential acidification (PA)</td>
<td>kg SO2/m³</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>kg SO2/m³</td>
<td>2.07E-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential eutrophication (PE)</td>
<td>kg phosphate eq/m³</td>
<td>0.335</td>
<td>kg phosphate eq/m³</td>
<td>1.8E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential formation of photochemical oxidants (POFP)</td>
<td>kg ethylene eq/m³</td>
<td>0.621</td>
<td>kg ethylene eq/m³</td>
<td>2.7E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary energy, non-renewable</td>
<td>MJ/m³</td>
<td>11044</td>
<td>MJ/m²</td>
<td>51.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary energy, renewable</td>
<td>MJ/m³</td>
<td>4919</td>
<td>MJ/m²</td>
<td>22.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity consumption</td>
<td>kWh/m³</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>kWh/m²</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Waste generation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable under assessment</th>
<th>Plain MDF board (per m³)</th>
<th>Coated MDF board (per m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-hazardous Waste</td>
<td>kg</td>
<td>20.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazardous Waste</td>
<td>kg</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. Validity of the declaration

The validity established for the environmental declaration for medium density fibreboards (MDF), both plain and melamine-coated, is 3 years (until December 2013) as the sensitivity of former years has been tested and there are no variations over 5% regarding the environmental effects in any of the categories of impact.

10. Verification

The present declaration has been developed according to standards ISO 14025, ISO 14045, and ISO 14044.

Independent verification according to ISO 14025: X external

Validation of the present declaration by:
Anxo Mourelle Álvarez

Excerpts from Finsa (Spain) – EPD for plain MDF and Melamine-coated MDF. Issued 2010.
EPD Example (excerpts)

- Can you tell if this is a “good” product or not?
- If not, how could you use the data to decide?
- Why might the Greenhouse gases value be negative?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable under assessment</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emission of Greenhouse gases</td>
<td>kg CO2/ m³</td>
<td>-818(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential depletion of the ozone layer (PDO)</td>
<td>kg R11 eq/ m³</td>
<td>4,3E-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential acidification (PA)</td>
<td>kg SO2/ m³</td>
<td>4,68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential eutrophication (PE)</td>
<td>kg phosphate eq/ m³</td>
<td>0,335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential formation of photochemical oxidants (PFPO)</td>
<td>kg ethylene eq/ m³</td>
<td>0,621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary energy, non renewable</td>
<td>MJ/ m³</td>
<td>11044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary energy, renewable</td>
<td>MJ/ m³</td>
<td>4919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity consumption</td>
<td>Kwh/ m³</td>
<td>501</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Excerpts from Finsa (Spain) – EPD for plain MDF and Melamine-coated MDF. Issued 2010.
Strengths and Weaknesses of EPDs

• Strengths
  – Transparent
  – Quantified Data – no vague claims
  – Directly comparable with other EPDs performed within the same category
  – Verified and credible
  – Same data could possibly be used in obtaining a Type I eco-label
Strengths and Weaknesses of EPDs

Several different assessment tools for buildings:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Developer</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ATHENA™ Environmental Impact Estimator</td>
<td>ATHENA Sustainable Material Institute, Canada</td>
<td>ATHENA Institute (2003); ATHENA™; DOE (1996/2006); Trusty and Meil (2002a,b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEAT 2002</td>
<td>Danish Building Research Institute (SBI), Denmark</td>
<td>BEAT (2002); Forsberg and von Malmborg (2004); Hansen (2005); IEA Annex 31 (2001); Petersen (2002a,b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BeCost</td>
<td>VTT, Finland</td>
<td>BeCost; CRISP (2004); IEA Annex 31 (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(previously known as LCA-house)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEES 4.0</td>
<td>U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), USA</td>
<td>BEES 4.0; DOE (1996/2006); IEA Annex 31 (2001); Lippiatt (2002); Trusty (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BREEAM</td>
<td>Building Research Establishment (BRE), UK</td>
<td>BREEAM; BREEAM fact file; CRISP (2004); Grace (2000); IEA Annex 31 (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EcoEffect</td>
<td>Royal Institute of Technology (KTH), Sweden</td>
<td>CRISP, EcoEffect; Forsberg and von Malmborg (2004); Glaumann (2000); IEA Annex 31 (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EcoProfile</td>
<td>Norwegian Building Research Institute (NBI), Norway</td>
<td>Boonstra and Petersen (2003); IEA Annex 31 (2001); Peeterson (2000a,b); Pettersen et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eco-Quantum</td>
<td>IVAM, the Netherlands</td>
<td>CRISP, EcoQuantum; IEA Annex 31 (2001); Peupotier and Putzeys (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Envest 2</td>
<td>Building Research Establishment (BRE), UK</td>
<td>DOE (1996/2006); CRISP; Envest 2; IEA Annex 31 (2001); Peupotier and Putzeys (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Status Model (Miljöstatus)</td>
<td>Association of the Environmental Status of Buildings, Sweden</td>
<td>Boonstra and Petersen (2003); Environmental Status Model; Carlson (2000); Carlson and Lundgren (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQUER</td>
<td>École des Mines de Paris, Centre d’Énergétique et Procédés, France</td>
<td>DOE (1996/2006); EQUER; IEA Annex 31 (2001); Nibel and Rialhe (2000); Peupotier and Putzeys (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EScale</td>
<td>CTSB and the University of Savoie, France</td>
<td>ESCALE; Gerard et al. (2000); IEA Annex 31 (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEED®</td>
<td>U.S. Green Building Council, USA</td>
<td>CRISP; IEA Annex 31 (2001); LEED®; LEED® (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEGEP® (previously known as Logec)</td>
<td>University of Karlsruhe, Germany</td>
<td>IEA Annex 31 (2001); Kohler et al. (2005); LEGEP; Peupotier and Putzeys (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAPOOSE</td>
<td>TRBI, France</td>
<td>IEA Annex 31 (2001); Nibel and Rialhe (2000); PAPOOSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEAM™</td>
<td>Ecobilan, France</td>
<td>IEA Annex 31 (2001); Nibel and Rialhe (2000); TEAM™</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Developed for specific purposes (old, new, refurbished, single family, multi-unit)

EPDs cannot be compared if they are provided by different tools.
Strengths and Weaknesses of EPDs

• Weaknesses
  – Focused more on business-to-business than business-to-consumer. Purchaser must have some technical knowledge of product being purchased, and time to compare EPDs.
  – Can be prohibitively expensive and time-consuming if specific data (recommended over generic data) is used.
  – Currently, no universal standard for EPDs (even within specific categories), so EPDs from different countries may not be comparable.
**Homework**

1. Download (2) EPDs for energy production:
   a) [http://gryphon.environdec.com/data/files/6/7562/epd144en_v2.pdf](http://gryphon.environdec.com/data/files/6/7562/epd144en_v2.pdf)

2. Use the data in the introductory summary table, prepare a table comparing the environmental impacts of the 2 forms of power production.

3. Based only on the data, which form of power has less environmental impact?

4. Choose (3) other categories from the tables in section 3.3 “Ecoprofile” and add them to the table.

5. What other factors not quantified in the EPD might affect which form of power is preferable?

6. Is it fair to compare these EPDs? Why or why not?
Vocabulary

- Eco-Labeling
- Environmental Label
- Self-Declared Environmental Claim
- Greenwashing
- Environmental Product Declaration
- Program Operator
- Product Category Rule